

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/602,176	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GEHRMANN, CHRISTIAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher A. Revak	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Michael Cameron.

**Status of Application:** response after non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 May 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant argued the features of a passcode and message authentication code being authenticated prior to establishing a shared secret key, however, claim 32 did not include the limitations of the message authentication code. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment in order to incorporate this limitation into the claim..